1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	NOEL RIVERA,	No. C 10-01050 WHA	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
13	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE		
14	UNDER POOLING AND SERVICING AGREEMENT DATED AS OF NOVEMBER		
15	1, 2004, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004 WHQ2,		
16	BARCLAYS CAPITAL REAL ESTATE d/b/a HOMEQ SERVICING CORPORATION,		
17	OLD REPUBLIC DEFAULT MANAGEMENT SERVICES, ARGENT		
18	MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, THE HOME LOAN GROUP, and DOES 1–100, Inclusive.		
19	Defendants.		
20	/		
21	Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trus	stee under pooling and servicing agreement	
22	dated as of November 1, 2004, asset-backed pass-tl	hrough certificates series 2004 WHQ2, and	
23	Barclays Capital Real Estate d/b/a Homeq Servicing Corporation, filed a motion to dismiss		
24	plaintiff's complaint. The motion is scheduled to be heard on April 29, 2010. Pursuant to Civi		
25	Local Rule 7-3, any brief in opposition to the motion was due on April 8, 2010, but no such		
26	opposition has been received. Plaintiff is ORDERED to respond by NOON ON WEDNESDAY ,		
27	APRIL 14, 2010, and show cause for its failure to respond to the motion in accordance with		
28	Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternately to file a state	ment of nonopposition to the motion as	

required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). This order to show cause does not constitute permission to

Case 3:10-cv-01050-WHA Document 14 Filed 04/13/10 Page 2 of 2

file a late opposition.	The hearing on defendant's motion to remand is ${\bf VACATED}$.	A new		
hearing shall be noticed by the Court if necessary.				

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 13, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE